

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/025,570	MATSUURA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Robert Madsen	1761

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** n/a

(1) Robert Madsen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Roland Long.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 June 2005

**Time:** n/a

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*To place the case in condition for allowance the Examiner proposed inserting "entire" before "inside surface" in line 6 of Claim 1. Applicant's Representative agreed to the proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)